Schwinger Pair Production at Finite Temperature

Leandro Medina and Michael C. Ogilvie
*Dept. of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130 USA**

Thermal corrections to Schwinger pair production are potentially important in particle physics, nuclear physics and cosmology. However, the lowest-order contribution, arising at one loop, has proved difficult to calculate unambiguously. We show that this thermal correction may be calculated for charged scalars using the worldline formalism, where each term in the decay rate is associated with a worldline instanton. We calculate all finite-temperature worldline instantons, their actions and fluctuations prefactors, thus determining the complete one-loop decay rate at finite temperature. The thermal contribution to the decay rate becomes nonzero at a threshold temperature $T = eE/2m$, above which it dominates the zero temperature result.

arXiv:1511.09459v1 [hep-th] 30 Nov 2015

In this letter, we present a complete first-principles calculation of the thermal correction to the pair production rate of charged scalars in a static electric field. Pair production in an external field is a form of semiclassical tunneling and has been applied in many areas of physics [1–6]. The effect of pair production in a background electric field was derived first by Euler and Heisenberg [7] and subsequently rederived by Schwinger [8] using modern field-theoretic techniques. The decay rate is obtained from the imaginary part of the one-loop effective action of charged particles in a constant external electric field. For charged scalars, the one-loop zero-temperature decay rate is

$$\Gamma = \frac{(eE)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{p^2} \exp\left[-\frac{m^2}{eE}\pi p\right] \tag{1}$$

with a similar result for fermions. The factor of $1/e$ in the exponent signals that this is a nonperturbative result.

These results have been extended in a number of ways [9–16]. One obvious extension is to to nonzero temperature and density. In the case of external *magnetic* fields, the properties of the thermal one-loop effective action are well-known [17, 18]. However, in the case of electric fields, there has been no clear consensus on the form or even the existence of one-loop thermal corrections to the zero-temperature decay rate [19–25]. Although the formal expression for the decay rate can be readily constructed using, say, Schwinger's proper time formulation, the analytic structure of the resulting formulae is quite intricate and leads to structural ambiguities [24]. It has been suggested that the one-loop thermal contribution to the decay rate may be zero, but there is no obvious symmetry principle that would lead to this conclusion. We will show the worldline formalism [14, 15, 26] is capable of resolving these ambiguities. We restrict ourselves here to the simplest case of charged scalars in QED, and will return to the case of fermions in QED and QCD in later work. The case of QCD is relevant, for instance, in phenomenological flux-tube models of quark-antiquark pair production during hadronization in heavy ion collisions [4, 27, 28].

We begin by reviewing and extending the work of Affleck *et al.* [26] for the $T = 0$ case of a scalar field. The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_\mu \phi)^* (D_\mu \phi) + m^2 \phi^* \phi \tag{2}$$

with a covariant derivative $D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$ where A_μ provides the constant background electric field in Euclidean space. The partition function $Z[A]$ and effective action $W[A]$ are functionals of the background field:

$$Z[A] = e^{-W[A]} = \int [d\phi]\,[d\phi^*]\, e^{-\int d^4x \mathcal{L}}. \tag{3}$$

The integral over the scalar fields can be done exactly, yielding a functional determinant which can be written as an integral over proper time:

$$W[A] = -\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} Tr\left[e^{-s\left(-D^2+m^2\right)}\right] = -\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-sm^2} \left\langle x \left| e^{-s\left(-D^2\right)} \right| x \right\rangle. \tag{4}$$

In the worldline formalism, the trace is given as a path integral over closed worldline paths $x_\mu(\tau)$

$$W[A] = -\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-sm^2} \oint [dx_\mu] \exp\left\{-\int_0^s d\tau \left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau}\right)^2 + ieA_\mu \frac{dx_\mu}{d\tau}\right]\right\} \tag{5}$$

* mco@wustl.edu, leandro@wustl.edu

with boundary condition $x_\mu(0) = x_\mu(s)$. We perform first a saddle point approximation to the integral over s and then find instanton solutions to the equations for $x_\mu(\tau)$. By rescaling s and τ, we can put $W[A]$ into the form [14]

$$W[A] = -\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-s} \oint [dx_\mu] \exp\left\{ -\int_0^1 du \left[\frac{m^2}{4s} \left(\frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right)^2 + ieA_\mu \frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right] \right\}. \tag{6}$$

The saddle point of the integral over s is given by

$$s_0^2 = \int_0^1 du \, \frac{m^2}{4} \left(\frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right)^2 \tag{7}$$

and its contribution to $W[A]$ is

$$-\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{m}} \oint [dx_\mu] \frac{1}{\left[\int_0^1 du \left(\frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right)^2 \right]^{1/4}} \exp\left[-m \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \left(\frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right)^2} - ie \int_0^1 du \, A_\mu \frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right]. \tag{8}$$

This will be a good approximation if $s_0 \gg 1$, corresponding to $eE/m^2 \ll 1$ [14]. Thus the problem is reduced to finding instanton solutions for the effective action

$$S_{\mathit{eff}} = m \sqrt{\int_0^1 du \left(\frac{dx_\mu}{du} \right)^2} + ie \int_0^1 du \, A_\mu(x(u)) \frac{dx_\mu}{du}. \tag{9}$$

We impose a constant (Minkowski-space) electric field by taking $A_3 = -iEx_4$ with the other three components either zero or constant. Then the only non-zero components of F are $F_{34} = -F_{43} = +iE$. The general solution of the equations of motion for $x_\mu(\tau)$ is a circular orbit of radius $R = m/eE$ centered about $(\bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4)$

$$x_3 = \frac{m}{eE} \cos\left(\frac{eaE}{m} u + \varphi \right) + \bar{x}_3 \tag{10}$$

$$x_4 = \frac{m}{eE} \sin\left(\frac{eaE}{m} u + \varphi \right) + \bar{x}_4 \tag{11}$$

where the parameter a is the arc length, which for $T = 0$ is $2\pi pR$, where p is a positive integer. The value of the effective action for such a solution is

$$S_{0p} = \frac{m^2}{eE} \pi p. \tag{12}$$

The case $p = 1$ was treated by Affleck *et al.*, who showed that the $p = 1$ solution has one unstable mode. Their results may be extended to general p with one caveat. For $p > 1$, there is an unstable mode as in the $p = 1$ case, but also $p - 1$ extra pairs of negative eigenvalues. Extra negative modes have been found previously in the study of vacuum decay, with subtle interpretational issues [29–31]. In the present case, we have guidance from Schwinger's original treatment, and this tells us that the pairs of negative eigenvalues are included, with the minus signs cancelling. The final result takes the form

$$\Gamma_0 = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} K_{0p} e^{-S_{0p}} \tag{13}$$

with

$$K_{0p} = \frac{(-1)^{p+1} e^2 E^2}{8\pi^3 p^2} \tag{14}$$

reproducing the known result.

In the worldline formalism, nonzero temperature may be introduced via the replacement [32, 33]

$$\left\langle x \left| e^{-s(-D^2)} \right| x \right\rangle \to \sum_{n \in Z} \left\langle x \left| e^{-s(-D^2)} \right| x + n\beta \hat{e}_4 \right\rangle \tag{15}$$

in the functional determinant. Finite temperature worldline instantons are sections of the $T = 0$ solutions whose endpoints are separated by $n\beta\hat{e}_4$. It is useful to distinguish between two types of solutions: arcs covering an angle $\theta_n < \pi$, which we refer to as short paths, and arcs spanning $2\pi - \theta_n > \pi$, which we call long paths. For any value of $n\beta$ for which solutions exist, there is a short path corresponding to a particle trajectory and another corresponding to an antiparticle trajectory; both trajectories contribute to the free energy of the metastable phase. Correspondingly, there are are also two long paths which contribute to the decay rate. All four paths are shown in Fig. 1. Conjoining a short path of arc length $R\theta_n$ to a corresponding long path of arc length $R\,(2\pi - \theta_n)$ gives the $T = 0$ circular solution found in Ref. [26]. From the geometry we see that θ_n is determined by $R\sin(\theta_n/2) = n\beta$.



Figure 1. The four basic finite-temperature classical paths in the $x_3 - x_4$ plane with a winding of n in the timelike direction, shown as portions of zero-temperature circular paths. From left to right, the paths are an antiparticle long path, an antiparticle short path, a particle short path and a particle long path.

In order for such solutions to exist at all, the diameter of the $T = 0$ solution must be greater than $n\beta$,

$$2R = \frac{2m}{eE} > n\beta. \tag{16}$$

In other words, the maximum value of n, n_{max} is given by

$$n_{max} = \left\lfloor \frac{2mT}{eE} \right\rfloor. \tag{17}$$

This implies that there are no one-loop thermal effects from worldline instantons for $T < eE/2m$, *i.e.*, at sufficiently low temperatures [25].

Such solutions can be extended by adding on p windings. The actions of these solutions are given by

$$S_{np}^{(s)} = \frac{m^2}{2eE}\left[(\theta_n + 2\pi p) + \sin\theta_n\right] \tag{18}$$

$$S_{np}^{(l)} = \frac{m^2}{2eE}\left[(2\pi - \theta_n + 2\pi p) - \sin\theta_n\right]. \tag{19}$$

Note that

$$S_{np}^{(s)} = S_{n0}^{(s)} + S_{0p} \tag{20}$$

$$S_{np}^{(l)} = S_{n0}^{(l)} + S_{0p} \tag{21}$$

and that the two solutions become degenerate when $\theta = \pi$.

The fluctuation prefactors $K_{np}^{(s)}$ and $K_{np}^{(l)}$ are given in terms of the functional determinant of the second variation operator, which is the sum of a local and a nonlocal term. For a solution of total arc length $(2\pi p + \theta)\,R$, this operator is $M_{\mu\nu} = L_{\mu\nu} - \frac{eE}{R^2}(2\pi p + \theta)\,(x_\mu(u) - \bar{x}_\mu)\,(x_\nu(u') - \bar{x}_\nu)$ where

$$L_{\mu\nu} = \left[-\frac{eE}{\theta + 2\pi p}\delta_{\mu\nu}\frac{d^2}{du^2} + ieF_{\mu\nu}\frac{d}{du}\right]\delta(u - u'). \tag{22}$$



Figure 2. The action S for an arc solution as a function of θ with $n\beta m = 1$. Only the extrema represent classical solutions, with the short path solution a local minimum and the long path solution a local maximum. At $eE/m^2 = 2$, the two extrema merge.

The method used by Affleck *et al.* to compute the prefactor K_{01} is difficult to implement given the boundary conditions for fluctuations about the finite-temperature worldline instantons. We use the matrix determinant lemma [34] to isolate the effect of the nonlocal term,

$$\det\left[M_{\mu\nu}\right] = \det\left[L_{\mu\nu}\right] \cdot \left[1 - \frac{eE}{R^2}\left(2\pi p + \theta\right) \iint du\, du'\ \left(x\left(u\right) - \bar{x}\right)_\mu \left(L^{-1}\right)_{\mu\nu} \left(x\left(u'\right) - \bar{x}\right)_\nu\right] \tag{23}$$

and the local part of the functional determinant is computed using the method of Gel'fand and Yaglom [35, 36]. Pairs of negative eigenvalues occur for all paths with $p > 0$ and are implicitly treated as in the $T = 0$ case.

The functional determinant for the short paths is always positive, and the functional determinant for the long paths is always negative, in agreement with the intuition given by Fig. 2. The sum of the short path contributions represents the free energy density of the metastable phase, and is given by

$$f = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{max}} 2K_{np}^{(s)} e^{-S_{np}^{(s)}} \tag{24}$$

where

$$K_{np}^{(s)} = \frac{\left(eE\right)^2}{\left(2\pi\right)^{3/2}\left(n\beta m\right)^{1/2}\left[2\pi p + 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{n\beta eE}{2m}\right)\right]^2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{n\beta eE}{2m}\right)^2\right]^{-1/4}. \tag{25}$$

In the limit $E \to 0$, equation (24) precisely reproduces the free energy of a free relativistic particle in the limit $\beta m \gg 1$.

The long paths, on the other hand, give a thermal correction Γ_T to the zero-temperature decay rate Γ_0. Our final result for scalars is

$$\Gamma_T = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_{max}} 2K_{np}^{(l)} e^{-S_{np}^{(l)}} \tag{26}$$

where

$$K_{np}^{(l)} = \frac{\left(eE\right)^2}{\left(2\pi\right)^{3/2}\left(n\beta m\right)^{1/2}\left[2\pi\left(p+1\right) - 2\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{n\beta eE}{2m}\right)\right]^2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{n\beta eE}{2m}\right)^2\right]^{-1/4}. \tag{27}$$

The last factor in the expressions for both $K_{np}^{(s)}$ and $K_{np}^{(l)}$ is singular when $n\beta eE/2m = 1$, that is, precisely when n_{max} changes value. The physical origin of the singularity is easy to understand [37, 38]. When $n\beta eE/2m = 1$, $\theta = \pi$ and the short and long paths become degenerate. At this point, the saddle point approximation breaks down, leading to the singular behavior observed in eqns. (25) and (27). In Figure 2, we plot S as a function of the angle θ with $n\beta m = 1$ and fixed endpoint separation of $n\beta\hat{e}_4$. Classical solutions are only obtained at the extrema, where $R = m/eE$. We see that for $eE/m^2 < 2$, there is a local minimum corresponding to the short path, and a local maximum corresponding to the long path. The instability of the long path is obvious. At $eE/m^2 = 2$, the two extrema merge and n_{max} changes by one. Similar singularities were observed in the context of quantum transition state theory by Wigner in 1932 [39]. It seems likely that the inclusion of higher-order effects such as damping removes the singular behavior [40]. In quantum transition state theory, the values of the tunneling rate away from the singularities appear to provide a good approximation to the physical result.

In Fig. 3 we plot the total decay rate $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_T$ as a function of T/m for three values of eE/m^2. The leftmost part of each curve represents the contribution of Γ_0 alone, which is independent of temperature. Each curve shows singularities at $T/m = neE/2m^2$, indicated by dotted lines. It can be shown that, wherever it is nonzero, Γ_T is always larger than Γ_0. The overall rise in the decay rate envelope appears to be linear in T. One-loop thermal corrections thus must be considered in problems where pair production in an external field occurs at nonzero temperature.



Figure 3. The total one-loop decay rate $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_T$ divided by m^4 versus T/m for various values of eE/m^2. The dotted lines represent the singularities at $T/m = neE/2m^2$. The leftmost part of each curve represents the contribution of Γ_0 alone.

[1] Leonard Parker. Quantized fields and particle creation in expanding universes. 1. *Phys. Rev.*, 183:1057–1068, 1969.

[2] Ya. B. Zeldovich and Alexei A. Starobinsky. Particle production and vacuum polarization in an anisotropic gravitational field. *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 34:1159–1166, 1972. [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.61,2161(1971)].

[3] S. W. Hawking. Black hole explosions. *Nature*, 248:30–31, 1974.

[4] A. Casher, H. Neuberger, and S. Nussinov. Chromoelectric Flux Tube Model of Particle Production. *Phys. Rev.*, D20:179–188, 1979.

[5] A. Ringwald. Fundamental physics at an x-ray free electron laser. In *Electromagnetic probes of fundamental physics. Proceedings, Workshop, Erice, Italy, October 16-21, 2001*, pages 63–74, 2001.

[6] Dmitri Kharzeev and Kirill Tuchin. From color glass condensate to quark gluon plasma through the event horizon. *Nucl. Phys.*, A753:316–334, 2005.

[7] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler. Consequences of Dirac's theory of positrons. *Z. Phys.*, 98:714–732, 1936.

[8] Julian S. Schwinger. On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization. *Phys. Rev.*, 82:664–679, 1951.

[9] E. Brezin and C. Itzykson. Pair production in vacuum by an alternating field. *Phys. Rev.*, D2:1191–1199, 1970.

[10] V. S. Popov and M. S. Marinov. E+ e- pair production in variable electric field. *Yad. Fiz.*, 16:809–822, 1972.

[11] M. S. Marinov and V. S. Popov. Electron-Positron Pair Creation from Vacuum Induced by Variable Electric Field. *Fortsch. Phys.*, 25:373–400, 1977.

[12] Gerald V. Dunne. *Heisenberg-Euler effective Lagrangians: Basics and extensions*. 2004.

[13] Holger Gies and Klaus Klingmuller. Pair production in inhomogeneous fields. *Phys. Rev.*, D72:065001, 2005.

[14] Gerald V. Dunne and Christian Schubert. Worldline instantons and pair production in inhomogeneous fields. *Phys. Rev.*, D72:105004, 2005.

[15] Gerald V. Dunne, Qing-hai Wang, Holger Gies, and Christian Schubert. Worldline instantons. II. The Fluctuation prefactor. *Phys. Rev.*, D73:065028, 2006.

[16] Gerald V. Dunne. The Heisenberg-Euler Effective Action: 75 years on. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A27:1260004, 2012. [Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.14,42(2012)].

[17] Walter Dittrich. EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIANS AT FINITE TEMPERATURE. *Phys. Rev.*, D19:2385, 1979.

[18] Per Elmfors, David Persson, and Bo-Sture Skagerstam. QED effective action at finite temperature and density. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71:480–483, 1993.

[19] M. Loewe and J. C. Rojas. Thermal effects and the effective action of quantum electrodynamics. *Phys. Rev.*, D46:2689–2694, 1992.

[20] Per Elmfors and Bo-Sture Skagerstam. Electromagnetic fields in a thermal background. *Phys. Lett.*, B348:141–148, 1995. [Erratum: Phys. Lett.B376,330(1996)].

[21] Joakim Hallin and Per Liljenberg. Fermionic and bosonic pair creation in an external electric field at finite temperature using the functional Schrodinger representation. *Phys. Rev.*, D52:1150–1164, 1995.

[22] A. K. Ganguly, J. C. Parikh, and P. K. Kaw. Thermal tunneling of q anti-q pairs in A-A collisions. *Phys. Rev.*, C51:2091–2094, 1995.

[23] Avijit Kumar Ganguly. Comment on fermionic and bosonic pair creation in an external electric field at finite temperature. 1998.

[24] Holger Gies. QED effective action at finite temperature. *Phys. Rev.*, D60:105002, 1999.

[25] Holger Gies. QED effective action at finite temperature: Two loop dominance. *Phys. Rev.*, D61:085021, 2000.

[26] Ian K. Affleck, Orlando Alvarez, and Nicholas S. Manton. Pair Production at Strong Coupling in Weak External Fields. *Nucl. Phys.*, B197:509, 1982.

[27] Bo Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, and T. Sjostrand. Parton Fragmentation and String Dynamics. *Phys. Rept.*, 97:31–145, 1983.

[28] S. A. Bass et al. Microscopic models for ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 41:255–369, 1998. [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.41,225(1998)].

[29] Sidney R. Coleman. Quantum Tunneling and Negative Eigenvalues. *Nucl. Phys.*, B298:178, 1988.

[30] J. Q. Liang and H. J. W. Muller-Kirsten. Nonvacuum bounces and quantum tunneling at finite energy. *Phys. Rev.*, D50:6519–6530, 1994.

[31] Lorenzo Battarra, George Lavrelashvili, and Jean-Luc Lehners. Negative Modes of Oscillating Instantons. *Phys. Rev.*, D86:124001, 2012.

[32] D. G. C. McKeon and A. Rebhan. Thermal Green's functions from quantum mechanical path integrals. *Phys. Rev.*, D47:5487–5493, 1993.

[33] Igor A. Shovkovy. One loop finite temperature effective potential in QED in the worldline approach. *Phys. Lett.*, B441:313–318, 1998.

[34] James Joseph Sylvester. On the relation between the minor determinants of linearly equivalent quadratic functions. *Philosophical Magazine*, 1:295–305, 1851.

[35] I. M. Gelfand and A. M. Yaglom. Integration in functional spaces and it applications in quantum physics. *J. Math. Phys.*, 1:48, 1960.

[36] S. Levit and U. Smilansky. A New Approach to Gaussian Path Integrals and the Evaluation of the Semiclassical Propagator. *Annals Phys.*, 103:198, 1977.

[37] Alan Lapedes and Emil Mottola. Complex Path Integrals and Finite Temperature. *Nucl. Phys.*, B203:58, 1982.

[38] Daniel Boyanovsky, Richard Holman, Da-Shin Lee, Joao P. Silva, and Anupam Singh. A Note on thermal activation. *Nucl. Phys.*, B441:595–608, 1995.

[39] E. P. Wigner. Über das Überschreiten von Potentialschwellen bei chemischen Reaktionen. (German) [On the crossing of thresholds in chemical potential reactions]. *Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie, Abteilung B: Chemie der Elementarprozesse, Aufbau der Materie*, 19:203–216, 1932.

[40] Peter G. Wolynes. Quantum Theory of Activated Events in Condensed Phases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 47:968–971, 1981.